

### Master Facilities Committee Evaluation and Recommendation

April 27, 2016

#### **Agenda**

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Reflection of Committee Work
- 3. Community Outreach Feedback
- 4. Table Discussions and Feedback
  - Additional Comments, Concerns, Questions
- 4. Future Engagement
- 5. Q & A
  - Exit Ticket

#### **Reflection of Work**

#### Reflection of committee work to date...

- Review of building conditions
- Assessment of building conditions
- Identification of priorities for facility improvements
- Review cost of estimates for facility improvements
- Discussion and review of options for facility improvements
- Facility tours (in and out of district)
- Discussion, questions and shared comments at each MFC meeting
- Distribution of meeting minutes to full committee by email
- Sharing of committee work on district website, Board meetings and community outreach meetings

#### **Community Outreach Efforts**

# **Community Outreach Efforts**

- 1. Community Outreach Meetings
  - 693 stakeholders attending outreach presentations
- 2. Community Phone Survey
  - 250 stakeholder respondents
- 3. Community Online Survey
  - 326 stakeholder respondents (as of 4/27/2016)

#### **Community Outreach Feedback – Outreach Meetings**

# **Community Outreach Meetings**

## **Overarching Themes**

- Allocation of funds project scope
- Educating the community on referendums
- Community outreach community members without students in our schools
- Impact of non-resident students

#### **Community Outreach Feedback – Online Survey**

# **Community Online Survey**

## **Overarching Themes**

- A need to address the overcrowding through increased spending
- Schools affect property values
- Buildings are in need of renovation; old and outdated
- Lack of school-aged children in community
- Families attending private schools
- Families living on fixed budgets
- Public education should be supported

#### **Community Outreach Feedback – Phone Survey**

## **Community Phone Survey**

- Sample of 2000 registered voters
- Targeted goal 250
- 257 completed survey of 11 questions
- Approximately 200 of 266 property values and quality of schools
- Approximately 140 of 250 aware of Referendum requirements
- Approximately 130 of 245 aware of building conditions and years past renovations
- Overall, positive support, key feedback and critical questions

#### **Table Discussions**

## **Table Discussions**

- Considerations
- Comments
- Questions

Document Highlights



# PLANNING FOR FUTURE

# Thank you for all your contributions!



No meeting May 11, 2016